

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/014,279	12/11/2001	Johnny Paul Speir	140-067a	2332	
7590 11/22/2006			EXAMINER		
Ward & Olivo			LIN, JERRY		
708 Third Ave New York, NY 10017			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1631		
			DATE MAILED: 11/22/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding:

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	_
10/014,279	SPEIR, JOHNNY PAUL	
Examiner	Art Unit	_
Jerry Lin	1631	•

•	Lyanimei	Artonit	1			
	Jerry Lin	1631				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	lress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>31 October 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A		- ,				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	Appeal. To avoid abaidavit, or other evider	nce, which FR 41.31: or (3)			
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. I no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	dension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi r than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action: or (2) as			
2. \boxtimes The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>31 October 2006</u> . A br	rief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.3	7 must be filed within	two months of			
the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any repl AMENDMENTS	or any extension thereof (37 CFR 4	1.37(e)), to avoid dis	missal of the			
	but prior to the data of films a buist					
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co 	nsideration and/or search (see NO	will <u>not</u> be entered b	ecause			
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		i L bolowy,				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying	the issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.				
NOTE: <u>See continuation sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.11			•			
1. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)						
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	llowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	int canceling the			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an e	explanation of			
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 30-33.		*				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		•				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	it before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and			
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. So	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a l).			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ied.			
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See continuation sheet. 	it does NOT place the application in	condition for allowar	ice because:			
2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)					
3. Other:	· · · 					

Continuation of Note 3 and 11:

Applicants' proposed After Final Amendments to the claims introduce substantive changes that raise issues that require further search and/or consideration and therefore will not be entered. For example, claim 1, was amended to include the limitation of "determining a chemical structure of a large molecule by utilizing said identified species." This new limitation would require further consideration and/or search.

Claims 30-33 remain rejected uner 35 U.S.C. 102 for reasons of record.

MICHAEL BORIN, PH.D PRIMARY EXAMINER